

**A SIMPLE NOTE ON SOME EMPIRICAL STOCHASTIC PROCESS
AS A TOOL IN UNIFORM L-STATISTICS WEAK LAWS**
Gane Samb LO

LERSTAD, Université Gaston Berger, Saint-Louis, SENEGAL.
LSTA, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, FRANCE.

ganesamblo@ufrsat.org, ganesamblo@yahoo.com

Keywords : *Tightness, weak convergence, Gaussian process, functional spaces, empirical and quantile process, empirical stochastic process*

Abstract :

In this paper, we are concerned with the stochastic process

$$(A) \quad \beta_n(q_t, t) = \beta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t,n}(Y(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_t(Y_j(t)),$$

where for $n \geq 1$ and $T > 0$, the sequences $\{Y_1(t), Y_2(t), \dots, Y_n(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ are independant observations of some real stochastic process $Y(t), t \in [0, T]$, for each $t \in [0, T]$, G_t is the distribution function of $Y(t)$ and $G_{t,n}$ is the empirical distribution function based on $Y_1(t), Y_2(t), \dots, Y_n(t)$, and finally q_t is a bounded real fonction defined on \mathbb{R} . This process appears when investigating some time-dependent L-Statistics which are expressed as a function of some functional empirical process and the process (A). Since the functional empirical process is widely investigated in the literature, the process reveals itself as an important key for L-Statistics laws. In this paper, we state an extended study of this process, give complete calculations of the first moments, the covariance function and find conditions for asymptotic tightness.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the uniform weak laws of a special process occuring in some research areas like Actuarial Sciences when measuring heavy losses, Welfare Sciences when measuring inequality coefficients and poverty indices. As well, it may be applied for general L-statistics. In order to define it, let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n independent and identically distributed random variables with values in $\ell^\infty([0, T])$, the space of real bounded functions defined on time space $[0, T]$, where T is a fixed positive real number. This means that the observations depend on the time $t \in [0, T]$, so that we may also write them in the form

$$\{Y_1(t), Y_2(t), \dots, Y_n(t), t \in [0, T]\}$$

and we represent the order statistics, when needed, by $Y_{1,n}(t) \leq Y_{2,n}(t) \leq \dots \leq Y_{n,n}(t)$. Now let $k \geq 1$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k \leq T$, G_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k} will stand for the

distribution function of $(Y_j(t_1), Y_j(t_2), \dots, Y_j(t_k))^t$. Also, for each $t \in [0, T]$, we denote by $G_{t,n}$ the empirical distribution function based on the sample $Y_1(t), Y_2(t), \dots, Y_n(t)$, that is, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$nG_{t,n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{(Y_j(t) \leq x)}.$$

From now, we suppose that all the random variables used here are defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We are now able to introduce the process

$$(1.1) \quad \beta_n(q_t, t) = \beta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t,n}(Y(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_t(Y_j(t)),$$

where for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $q_t : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable bounded function. For $q \equiv 1$, we write it $B_n^*(t) = \beta_n(1, t)$ and called it as the simple process. This process $\{\beta_n(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ may appear when dealing with time-dependant L-Statistics of the form

$$(1.2) \quad J_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{Q_n(t)} c(j/n) q_0(Y_{j,n}(t)),$$

where $c(\cdot)$ (resp. $q_0(\cdot)$) is a function defined on $[0, 1]$ (resp. \mathbb{R}) and where for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$, $Z(t) > 0$ is some threshold such that $Y_{Q_n,n}(t) \leq Z(t) < Y_{Q_n+1,n}(t)$. By denoting $R_{j,n}(t)$ the rank statistics of $Y_j(t)$, (1.2) may be written, when the distribution functions G_t are continuous, as

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n c(R_{j,n}(t)/n) q(Y_j(t)) \mathbb{I}(Y_j(t) \leq Z(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n c(G_{t,n}(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1(Y(t)) = q_0(Y(t)) \mathbb{I}(Y(t) \leq Z(t))$. Under some conditions (see [5]), (1.2) may be uniformly approximated by the representation, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n c(G_t(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t,n}(Y(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} c'(G_t(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t)) + o_P^*(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where c' is the derivative function of c , and $u_n^* = o_P^*(1)$ stands for the convergence to zero in outer-probability, that is there exists a sequence of random variables u_n converging to zero in probability as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|u_n^*\| \leq \|u_n\|$ for $n \geq 1$. Putting

$$J(t) = \mathbb{E}c(G_t(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(G_t(y)) q_1(y) dG_t(y),$$

we have, for $q_t(\cdot) = c'(G_t(\cdot)) q_1(\cdot)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(1.3) \quad \sqrt{n}(J_n(t) - J(t)) = \alpha_n(t) + \beta_n(q_t, t) + o_P(1),$$

where

$$\alpha_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \{c(G_t(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t)) - \mathbb{E}c(G_t(Y_j(t))) q_1(Y_j(t))\},$$

and this is nothing else but the functional empirical process \mathbb{G}_n so that

$$\alpha_n(t) = \mathbb{G}_n(g_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \{g_t(Y_j) - \mathbb{E}g_t(Y_j)\},$$

where g_t is the real function defined on $\ell^\infty([0, T])$ satisfying

$$g_t(x) = c(G_t(x(t))q_1(x(t)), x \in \ell^\infty([0, T]).$$

Statistics like (1.2) thus are present in many situations in connection with L-Statistics (see [1], [2], [3]) and naturally occur in Actuarial Sciences and in inequality measures (see [4]), and more recently in poverty measures (see [5], [8]). In all these fields, we may be faced not to find simple asymptotic normality results, but to derive uniform asymptotic laws for the time-dependant statistics (with the parameter $t \in [0, T]$) and functional asymptotic laws with respect to the class of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{(g_t, q_t), t \in [0, T]\}$.

This motivated us to undertake a special study of β_n and its connection with the empirical process as general key tools. This study needs much calculations that may be superfluous in each particular application. We thus aim to characterize this process here and present our results as general tools to be used further in statistical works as packages. In all the paper, we suppose that the distribution functions G_t are continuous and increasing.

Since the calculations related to this study are tremendous, we are going to give here the characteristics of the process. Examples of computations that lead to the results stated here are given in the beginning of the proof of the first theorem while the full paper are given in [6].

The paper is organized as follows. We entirely describe the weak law the process in Section 2. In Section 3, the weak law of the sum of a process of type (1.1) with a functional empirical process is given while Section 3 is devoted to the weak law of a couple of statistics of type (1.1). The paper is finished by a conclusion.

2. LAW OF THE GENERAL PROCESS

We now consider the process

$$\beta_n^*(t) = \sqrt{n}\beta_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_t(Y_j(t)).$$

Before we present our main result, define

$$g(q, t, s) = \int \left(\int_{x \geq u} q_t(x) G_t(x) \right) \left(\int_{y \geq v} q_s(y) G_t(y) \right) dG_{t,s}(u, v),$$

$$c_2(t) = \int \left(\int_{x \geq u} q_t(x) G_t(x) \right)^2 dG_t(u)$$

and this convention, for a function h ,

$$\mathbb{E}_t h = \int h(u) dG_t(u)$$

Theorem 1. *If there is a universal constant K_0 , such that there exists $\delta > 0$,*

$$|s - t| \leq \delta \implies |2(c_2(t) - g(q, t, s))$$

$$(2.1) \quad + \{(\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)(\mathbb{E}_s G_s q_s) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)^2\} \leq \frac{3}{2} K_0 |s - t|^{1+r},$$

then $\{\beta_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ converges to a $\ell^\infty([0, T])$ -Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\Gamma_1(q_t, q_s, s, t) = g(q, t, s) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)(\mathbb{E}_s G_s q_s).$$

Remark 1. *As announced, we will give in the beginning of the proof of this theorem examples of computations needed in proving the results of these paper. Full, detailed and complete ones are stated in [6].*

Proof. Let

$$\beta_n^*(t) = \sqrt{n} \beta_n(t).$$

We begin to calculate the two first moments and the covariance function.

Mean calculation. One has

$$\mathbb{E} \beta_n^*(t) = \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) q_t(Y_j(t)) - n(\mathbb{E} q_t(Y(t)))(G_t(Y(t))).$$

But

$$n G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) q_t(Y_j(t)) = q_t(Y_j(t)) + \sum_{h \neq j} 1_{(Y_h(t) \leq Y_j(t))} q_t(Y_j(t))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} n G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) q_t(Y_j(t)) &= \mathbb{E} q_t(Y(t)) + (n-1) \int q_t(u) dG_t(u) \int_{x \geq u} dG_t(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E} q_t + (n-1) \int G_t(u) q_t(u) dG_t(u). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the convention $\mathbb{E}_t b = \mathbb{E}(b(Y(t)))$. We get

$$\mathbb{E} G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) q_t(Y_j(t)) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_t q_t - \mathbb{E}_t q_t G_t}{n} + \mathbb{E}_t q_t G_t.$$

This gives

$$\mathbb{E} \beta_n^*(t) = \mathbb{E}_t q_t - \mathbb{E}_t q_t G_t$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \beta_n(t) = (\mathbb{E}_t q_t - \mathbb{E}_t q_t G_t) / \sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Variance calculation. Direct calculations like the previous give :

$$\mathbb{E} \beta_n(t)^2 = c_2(t) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)^2 + \frac{K_1(t, s)}{n},$$

where $K_1(t, s)$ is uniformly bounded. Before we arrive at the covariance function.

We should observe that for $q_t = 1$, then $c_2 = 1/3$, $(\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)^2 = 1/4$ and

$$c_2(t) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)^2 = 1/12.$$

Covariance calculations. We also have

$$\mathbb{E} \beta_n(t) \beta_n(s) = g(q, t, s) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)(\mathbb{E}_s G_s q_s) + \frac{K_2(n, t, s)}{n}.$$

$$= \Gamma_1(q_t, q_s, t, s) + \frac{K_2(n, t, s)}{n},$$

where $K_2(n, t, s)$ is uniformly bounded in (n, t, s) . We finish to remark that for $s = t$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\beta_n(t)^2 \sim c_2(t) - (E_t G_t q)^2.$$

We now consider the increments of $\beta_n(t)$.

Increments calculations.

Recall that

$$E\beta_n(t)^2 = c_2(t) - (E_t G_t q)^2 + \frac{K_1(n, t)}{n}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} E(\beta_n(t) - \beta_n(s))^2 &= 2(c_2(t) - g(q, t, s)) \\ (2.2) \quad &+ \{(E_t G_t q)(E_s G_s q) - (E_t G_t q)^2\} + \frac{K_3(n, t, s)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proofs of the weak convergence.

We always begin to show the weak convergence of the finite-distribution of $\beta_n(\cdot)$ that is

$$\beta_n(t_1, \dots, t_k, a) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \beta_n(t_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^k a_s \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t_s, n}(Y_j(t_s)) - G(Y_{t_s})\} q_{t_s}(Y_j(t_s)).$$

$0 < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k \leq T$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)^t \in \mathbb{R}^k$. We have

$$(2.3) \quad \beta_n(t) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{n}(s - V_{t, n}(s)) q_t(G_t^{-1}(s)) ds + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}) = N_n^*(q_t, t) + O_P(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

The finite distribution is established by using Lemma 1 below and its application in section 5. The covariance function of the limiting process is

$$\Gamma_1(q_{t_i}, q_{t_j}, t_i, t_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}ov(N_n^*(q_{t_i}, t_i), N_n^*(q_{t_j}, t_j))$$

which, by (2.3), is

$$\Gamma_1(q_{t_i}, q_{t_j}, t_i, t_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}ov(\beta_n(t), \beta_n(s))$$

Finally (2.1), (2.2) together prove the asymptotic tightness of β_n via Lemma 1 in [11] and Example 2.2.12 in [10]. \square

3. ADDITION OF THE PROCESSES AND AN EMPIRICAL PROCESS

In many situations, the asymptotic law of the studied statistics is achieved in a sum of our process and an empirical process of the form

$$\gamma_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n$$

where

$$\gamma_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_j (g_t(Y(t)) - \eta(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_j \{G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_t(Y_j(t)).$$

In such cases, what is the covariance structure of the limiting process? We have this

Proposition 1. *If each of the processes γ_n , α_n and β_n converges in finite-distributions and is asymptotically tight, then covariance function of the limiting Gaussian process of γ_n is*

$$\Gamma(t, s) = \Gamma_1(q_t, q_s, t, s) + \Gamma_2(t, s) + \gamma(t, s),$$

with

$$\Gamma_2(t, s) = \int (\bar{g}_t(x) - \eta(t))(\bar{g}_s(y) - \eta(s)) dG_{t,s}(x, y),$$

$$\Gamma_2(q_t, q_s, t, s) = g(q, t, s) - (\mathbb{E}_t G_t q_t)(\mathbb{E}_s G_s q_s),$$

$$g(q_t, q_s, t, s) = \int \left(\int_{x \geq u} q_t(u) dG_t(u) \right) \left(\int_{x \geq v} q_s(v) dG_s(v) \right) dG_{t,s}(u, v)$$

and

$$\gamma(t, s) = \gamma_1(t, s) + \gamma_1(s, t),$$

with

$$\gamma_1(t, s) = \int \bar{g}_t(u) \left(\int_{x \geq u} q(x) dG_s(u) \right) dG_{t,s}(u, v).$$

Remark 2. *We are not interesting here by complete results. We only intend to show how the process intervenes in general L-Statistics and to give the covariance function. In each particular, we will have to prove the finite-distribution convergence and the tightness of the components of such processes.*

Proof. If the hypotheses of the proposition hold, the limiting covariance function is performed through the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n(t)\gamma_n(s) &= (\alpha_n(t) + \beta_n(t))(\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)) \\ &= \alpha_n(t)\alpha_n(s) + \alpha_n(t)\beta_n(s) + \beta_n(t)(\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(t)\beta_n(s)). \end{aligned}$$

By computing the expectation of each of them, we arrive at

$$\gamma_1(t, s) = \int \bar{g}_t(u) \left(\int_{x \geq u} q(x) dG_s(u) \right) dG_{t,s}(u, v), \gamma(t, s) = \gamma_1(t, s) + \gamma_1(s, t).$$

□

4. COVARIANCE FUNCTION OF TWO PROCESSUS

In some applications, we may be led to simultaneously consider two or several processes of the kind (1.1). In this case, their covariance function may be useful. Consider

$$\beta_{n,2}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_j \{G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_{1,t}(Y_j(t))$$

and

$$\beta_{n,1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_j \{G_{t,n}(Y_j(t)) - G_t(Y_j(t))\} q_{2,t}(Y_j(t)).$$

We will have the result

Proposition 2. *If the two processes are both asymptotically tight and converge in finite-distribution, then their limiting Gaussian processes have the following covariance*

$$\Gamma_3(t, s) = g(q_{1,t}, q_{2,s}, t, s) - ((E_t G_t q_1)(E_s G_s q_2) + (E_t G_t q_1)(E_s G_s q_2)),$$

and

$$g(q_{1,t}, q_{2,s}, t, s) = g_1(q_{1,t}, q_{2,s}, t, s) + g_1(q_{1,s}, q_{2,t}, s, t)$$

with

$$g_1(q_{1,t}, q_{2,s}, t, s) = \int \left(\int_{x \geq u} q_{1,t}(u) dG_t(u) \right) \left(\int_{x \geq v} q_{2,s}(v) dG_s(v) \right) dG_{t,s}(u, v).$$

5. A USEFUL TOOL

We give here a useful lemma on which, is be based the asymptotic finite-distribution normality of the processes involved here. It will be enough to describe it in the two dimensional case. A generalization to the k-dimensional case is straightforward. We have

Lemma 1. *Let (X_i, Y_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be independent observations of a random vector (X, Y) with joint distribution function $G(x, y) = P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$, and margins $G_1(x) = G(x, +\infty)$ and $G_2(y) = G(+\infty, y)$. Let, for each $n \geq 1$, $\varepsilon_{1,n}$ and $\varepsilon_{2,n}$ be the quantile processes based respectively on $G_1(X_1), G_1(X_2), \dots, G_1(X_n)$, and on $G_2(Y_1), G_2(Y_2), \dots, G_2(Y_n)$. Then $\varepsilon_n = (\varepsilon_{1,n}, \varepsilon_{2,n})$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian process $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ in $(\ell^\infty([0, 1]))^2$ such that each ε_i is a standard Brownian bridge.*

Proof. Let for each $n \geq 1$, $\alpha_{1,n}$ and $\alpha_{2,n}$ be the empirical processes based respectively on $G_1(X_1), G_1(X_2), \dots, G_1(X_n)$ and on $G_2(Y_1), G_2(Y_2), \dots, G_2(Y_n)$. We have (see [9], p.584) that $\alpha_{i,n}(s) = -\varepsilon_{i,n}(s) + o_P(1)$ uniformly in $s \in (0, 1)$, which gives

$$\varepsilon_n(s, t) = (\varepsilon_{1,n}(s), \varepsilon_{2,n}(t)) = -(\alpha_{1,n}(s), \alpha_{2,n}(t)) = o_P(1),$$

uniformly in $(s, t) \in (0, 1)^2$. Now let us consider the functional empirical process α_n based on the $Z_i = (G_1(X_i), G_2(Y_i))$, that is

$$\alpha_n(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n f(Z_j) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i),$$

for a real function defined on $(0, 1)^2$ such that $\mathbb{E}f(Z_i)^2 < \infty$. We have by the classical results of empirical process that $\{\alpha_n(f), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ converges to a Gaussian

process $\{\mathbb{G}(f), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ whenever \mathcal{F} is a donsker class. It follows that $\{\alpha_n(1_C), C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ converges to a Gaussian process $\{\mathbb{G}(1_C), C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ whenever \mathcal{C} is a Vapnik-Cervonenkis class (*VP*-class). But $\mathcal{C} = \{1_{[0,s] \times [0,t]}, (t, s) \in (0, 1)^2\}$ is a *VP*-class of index not greater of 2. (see [10] for *VP*-classes use to empirical processes). Thus, putting $f_{s,t} = 1_{[0,s] \times [0,t]}$, we have

$$\alpha_n(s, t) \equiv \alpha_n(f_{s,t}) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{G}(f_{s,t}) \equiv \mathbb{G}(s, t)$$

in $(\ell^\infty([0, 1]))^2$, where \rightsquigarrow stands for the weak convergence. Now, by using the Skorohod-Wichura-Dudley Theorem, we are entitled to suppose that we are on a probability space such that

$$\sup_{(s,t) \in (0,1)^2} |\alpha_n(f_{s,t}) - \mathbb{G}(f_{s,t})| \rightarrow_P 0.$$

Now, put $f_{1,s} = 1_{[0,s] \times [0,1]}$, $f_{2,t} = 1_{[0,1] \times [0,t]}$, $\mathbb{G}_1(s) = \mathbb{G}_1(f_{1,s})$ and $\mathbb{G}_2(t) = \mathbb{G}_1(f_{2,t})$. We have

$$\alpha_n(f_{i,s}) = \alpha_{1,n}(s) = \mathbb{G}_1(s) + o_P(1),$$

uniformly in $s \in (0, 1)$. We finally have

$$\alpha_n(s, t) = (\mathbb{G}_1(s), \mathbb{G}_2(t)) + o_P(1),$$

uniformly in $(s, t) \in (0, 1)^2$. Clearly, $(\mathbb{G}_1(s), \mathbb{G}_2(t))$ is a Gaussian process and each \mathbb{G}_i is the standard Brownian bridge. \square

Application 1. *Let us consider the two-dimensional distribution $\beta_n(t_1, t_2, a)$ like in (2.3), which is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ a_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t_1,n}(Y_j(t_1)) - G(Y_{t_2})\} q_{t_1}(Y_j(t_1)) \right. \\ & \left. + a_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \{G_{t_2,n}(Y_j(t_2)) - G(Y_{t_2})\} q_{t_2}(Y_j(t_2)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the notations around (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n(t_1, t_2, a) &= a_1 N_1(q_{t_1}, t_1) + a_2 N_1(q_{t_2}, t_2) + o_P(1) \\ &= \int_0^1 \{a_1 G_1(s) q_{t_1}(s) + a_2 G_2(s) q_{t_2}(s)\} ds + o_P(1), \\ &\rightarrow N(a_1, a_2) = \int_0^1 \{a_1 G_1(s) q_{t_1}(s) + a_2 G_2(s) q_{t_2}(s)\} ds, \end{aligned}$$

which is a Gaussian random variable.

6. CONCLUSION

We have entirely described the weak law of empirical stochastic processes like (1.1) as well that of such processes and a functional empirical processes. Such results have potential powerful applications in deriving uniform time-dependent L-statistics as done in [7], where the time-dependant general poverty index is studied. Applications of our results in Actuarial Sciences are under way.

REFERENCES

- [1] Helmers, R. and Ruymgaart, F. H. (1988). Asymptotic normality of generalized L-statistics with unbounded Scores. *J. statist. Plann. Inference*, **19** 43-53.
- [2] Helmers, R., Janssen, P. and Serfling, R. (1988). Glivenko- Cantelli Properties of some generalized empirical df's and strong convergence of generalized L-Statistics. *Probab. Theory Related Fields.*, **79** 75-93.
- [3] Helmers, R., Janssen, P. and Serfling, R. (1990). Berry-Esséen and bootstrap results for generalized L-statistics. *Scand. J. Statist.*, **17**, 65-77.
- [4] Puri, M. L., Greselin, F. and Zitikis, R.(2009). L-functions, processes, and statistics in measuring economic inequality and actuarial risks. *Statistics and Its Interface*, **0** (1).
- [5] G.S. Lo (2009). Asymptotic Representation Theorems for poverty indices. Submitted.
- [6] G.S. Lo and S. T. Sall.(2009). On some empirical process. Available at : <http://www.ufrsat.org/perso/gslo/empstopro.pdf>
- [7] G.S. Lo and S. T. Sall.(2009). The Asymptotic Laws of the Time-dependent General Poverty Index and Applications. Available at : <http://www.ufrsat.org/perso/gslo/sall-lo00210.pdf>
- [8] Une Théorie Générale Asymptotique des Mesures de Pauvreté. (Co-authors : Serigne Touba Sall and Cheikh Tidiane Seck), 20009, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 45-52, 31 (2).
- [9] Shorack G.R. and Wellner J. A.(1986). Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics, wiley-Interscience, New-York.
- [10] A. W. van der Vaart and J. A. Wellner(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*. Springer, New-York.
- [11] Sall, S.T. and Lo, G.S., (2009). Uniform Weak Convergence of the Time-dependent Poverty Measure for Continuous Longitudinal Data. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.*, (**24**), 457–467.